

QUANTUM INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS AND DIFFERENTIAL GALOIS THEORY

ALEXANDER BRAVERMAN, PAVEL ETINGOF AND DENNIS GAITSGORY

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to a systematic study of quantum completely integrable systems (i.e. complete systems of commuting differential operators) from the point of view of algebraic geometry. We investigate the eigenvalue problem for such systems and the corresponding D -module when the eigenvalues are in generic position. In particular, we show that the differential Galois group of this eigenvalue problem is reductive at generic eigenvalues. This implies that a system is algebraically integrable (i.e. its eigenvalue problem is explicitly solvable in quadratures) if and only if the differential Galois group is commutative for generic eigenvalues. We apply this criterion of algebraic integrability to two examples: finite-zone potentials and the elliptic Calogero-Moser system. In the second example, we obtain a proof of the Chalyh-Veselov conjecture that the Calogero-Moser system with integer parameter is algebraically integrable, using the results of Felder and Varchenko.

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let us recall that in classical mechanics an integrable Hamiltonian system on a manifold X of dimension n is a collection of functions $I_1, \dots, I_n$ on the cotangent bundle T^*X , that Poisson commute among themselves and that are functionally independent [Ar].

An analogous definition can be given in the framework of algebraic geometry. Namely, let X be a smooth connected n -dimensional algebraic variety over a field k of zero characteristic which we assume to be algebraically closed.

By an integrable Hamiltonian system on X we mean a pair (Λ, f) , where Λ is an irreducible n -dimensional affine algebraic variety, and $f : T^*X \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a dominant map whose generic fiber is Lagrangian. If $\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^n$, such a mapping is defined by an n -tuple $I_1, \dots, I_n$ of regular functions on T^*X which are algebraically independent and Poisson commute. In particular, if $n = 1$ (X is a curve), then any nonconstant function on T^*X defines an integrable system.

0.2. Now consider the quantum analog of this definition. First observe that the map f defines an embedding of rings of regular functions $f^* : \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T^*X)$, which is a homomorphism of algebras with a Poisson commutative image. The quantum analogue of the Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}(T^*X)$ is the algebra $D(X)$ of differential operators on X . Thus, by a quantum completely integrable system (QCIS) on X we mean a pair (Λ, θ) , where Λ is an irreducible n -dimensional affine algebraic variety, and $\theta : \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \rightarrow D(X)$ is an embedding of algebras. As before, if $\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^n$, such a mapping is defined by an n -tuple $D_1, \dots, D_n$ of differential operators on X which are algebraically independent and which commute with one another.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

0.3. Given a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ on X , and a point λ of Λ , one may consider a D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ on X generated by an element $\mathbf{1}_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ with the relations

$$(1) \quad \theta(g) \cdot \mathbf{1}_\lambda = g(\lambda) \mathbf{1}_\lambda, \forall g \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda).$$

Such a D -module is the same as a system of differential equations:

$$(2) \quad \theta(g)\psi = g(\lambda)\psi, g \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$$

– the eigenvalue problem for S .

The rank of S is set to be the dimension of the space of formal solutions of this system near a generic point of X or which is the same, the rank of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ at the generic point of X . It is easy to show (Proposition 1.3) that this definition does not depend on the choice of λ . We call a QCIS non-degenerate if it has a non-zero rank.

The following theorem is one of our main results (cf. 1.5):

Theorem. *Let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ be a non-degenerate QCIS on X and let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be in a generic position (cf. 1.3). Then*

- (1) *The D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is holonomic and is the Goresky-MacPherson extension from an open part of X where it is smooth.*
- (2) *The differential Galois group of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is a reductive algebraic group.*

0.4. Among QCIS, those having rank 1 are particularly simple since the corresponding systems (2) admit an explicit solution (cf. 1.4). The property of having an explicit solution is shared by a wider class of QCIS's that are called algebraically integrable (cf. 2.4). By definition, a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is said to be algebraically integrable if it is dominated (cf. 2.1, 2.4) by another QCIS S' with $rk(S') = 1$. This is an important notion that appeared first in the context of soliton theory for $\dim(X) = 1$ [Kr] (cf. 0.5 below).

Theorem 0.3 cited above implies the following criterion for algebraic integrability of QCIS's in terms of the corresponding differential Galois groups (cf. 3.4).

Theorem. *Let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ be a QCIS on a variety X . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *S is algebraically integrable.*
- (2) *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ in a generic position (cf. 1.3) the differential Galois group of the equation (2) is commutative.*
- (3) *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ in a generic position the differential Galois group of the equation (2) is triangular (cf. 3.1).*

0.5. At the end of the paper, we consider some examples. The first example deals with finite-zone ordinary differential operators [Kr], which arise in the theory of equations of Korteweg-de-Vries type.

Let X be an open subset of the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1$ and let $L = d^r/dx^r + \dots + a_r(x)$ be a differential operator on X . Such L is called finite-zone if there exists another differential operator L' , of order prime to r , such that $[L, L'] = 0$. It is well-known that L is finite-zone if and only if the QCIS on X defined by L is algebraically integrable. Therefore, Theorem 0.4 implies the following result (cf. 5.1):

Theorem. *A differential operator L as above is finite zone if and only the differential Galois group of the equation $L\psi = \lambda\psi$ is commutative for a generic λ .*

The second example deals with the Calogero-Moser integrable system CM_m^n on an n -th power of an elliptic curve E which has a complex number m as a parameter (cf. 5.3). The system CM_m^n can be obtained via the representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras [EFK] and is closely connected to the quantum Hitchin's system in the case of elliptic curves [BD].

We will show that the recent results of Felder and Varchenko who computed the eigenfunctions of the Calogero-Moser system when $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ imply the algebraic integrability of CM_m^n in this case. This result was conjectured by Chalyh and Veselov in [CV1].

Remark. Although the last result is independent of the non-constructive Theorem 0.4, the question of writing down explicitly the additional operators that commute with $\theta(\Lambda)$ for $S = (\Lambda, \theta) = CM_m^n$ remains open.

0.6. The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 1 we introduce quantum completely integrable systems and discuss their basic properties.

In Section 2 we define a partial order on the set of QCIS on a given variety X and investigate its structure. In 2.4 we study the notion of algebraic integrability of a QCIS.

In Section 3 we recall basic definitions from the differential Galois theory. In particular, to a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ we attach an algebraic group $DGal(S)$ defined over the field $k(\Lambda)$ and study its properties.

In Section 4 we discuss generalizations of the results of the previous sections to the case when X is a formal polydisc.

In Section 5 we study in some detail the two examples mentioned in 0.5.

In Sections 6 and 7 we present the proofs of the main theorems of the paper.

Some auxiliary algebraic results are proven in the Appendix.

0.7 Notations. Throughout the paper k will be an algebraically closed field of zero characteristic. If Y is a variety over k and if K is a field containing k we will denote by Y_K the variety $Y \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(K)$ over K and by $Y(K)$ the set of K -points of Y . For an irreducible Y , we say that some property holds for almost all points of Y , if there exists a countable collection of proper subvarieties of Y such that for any $K \supset k$ this property holds for all $y \in Y(K)$ that do not belong to these subvarieties.

For an irreducible affine variety Y/k we denote by $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ the algebra of regular functions on Y and by $k(Y)$ the field of rational functions on Y . The Grothendieck generic point of Y is by definition the canonical $k(Y)$ -point corresponding to the embedding $\mathcal{O}(Y) \subset k(Y)$.

If N is a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -modules on Y and if $y \in Y(K)$, we denote by N_y the fiber of N at y .

If Y is smooth we denote by $D(Y)$ the ring of differential operators on Y . By definition, a system of (linear) algebraic differential equations on Y is the same as a D -module M on Y with a distinguished generator $\xi \in M$. (The corresponding system is given by $D(\psi) = 0$ for $D \in D(Y)$ if $D \cdot \xi = 0$.)

For an algebraic group G over K we denote by $Rep(G)$ the category of its K -rational representations and by $Forget$ the forgetful functor $Rep(G) \rightarrow Vect_K$.

0.8 Acknowledgments.

We wish to thank J.Bernstein, D.Kazhdan, V.Spiridonov and A.Varchenko for interesting and stimulating discussions. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee whose remarks allowed to considerably improve the exposition. The work of P.E. was partially supported by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship. The work of D.G. was supported by the NSF grant DMS 9304580.

1. QUANTUM COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

1.1. Let X be a smooth connected affine algebraic variety of dimension n over k .

Definition. A quantum Hamiltonian system (QHS) on X is a pair $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$, where Λ is an irreducible affine algebraic variety over k and $\theta : \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \rightarrow D(X)$ is an embedding of algebras.

The following assertion follows from Bernstein's inequality (cf. Corollary A.3(1)):

Lemma. Let $A \subset D(X)$ be a commutative finitely generated subalgebra. Then $\dim(A) \leq n$.

Therefore, if $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is a QHS on X , $\dim(\Lambda) \leq n$.

Definition. A QHS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is said to be completely integrable (QCIS) if $\dim(\Lambda) = n$.

1.2. If $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is a QCIS, the ring $D(X)$ can be regarded as a left module over $D(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$: $D(X)$ acts on itself by the left multiplication and $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ acts on $D(X)$ by the right multiplication via its embedding into $D(X)$.

Let now K be a field containing k . If $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ is a K -valued point of Λ , we can construct a D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ on $X_K := X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(K)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda := D(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} K.$$

The D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ possesses a distinguished generator $\mathbf{1}_\lambda \in D(X)$ which is the image of $\mathbf{1} \in D(X)$ and it satisfies the relations

$$\theta(g) \cdot \mathbf{1}_\lambda = g(\lambda) \mathbf{1}_\lambda, \forall g \in \mathcal{O}(\Lambda).$$

Therefore, a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ can be regarded as a family of D -modules on X parameterized by the points of Λ .

In particular, let us consider the Grothendieck generic point (cf. 0.7) of Λ . We let $\mathcal{M}_S$ denote the corresponding D -module on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$, $\mathcal{M}_S := \simeq D(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$.

Lemma.

- (1) There exists a Zariski dense open subvariety $U_0 \subset X \times \Lambda$, such that $D(X)$ is finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ -module when localized to U_0 .
- (2) For each point λ of Λ , the D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is smooth when restricted to $X \times \lambda \cap U_0$.
- (3) The D -module $\mathcal{M}_S$ on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ is holonomic.

The second point of the lemma is an immediate corollary of the first one, which is proven in A.4. The third point is Corollary A.3(2).

Definition. The rank $rk(S)$ of $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is the dimension of the fiber of $\mathcal{M}_S$ at the generic point of $X_{k(\Lambda)}$.

It is easy to see that $rk(S) = 0$ if and only if the localization of $D(X)$ to the subvariety U_0 of Lemma 1.2(1) vanishes.

1.3. Before going further, let us explain how the D -module $\mathcal{M}_S$, which “lives” on the variety $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ over a non-algebraically closed field $k(\Lambda)$ is connected to the D -modules $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ obtained from geometric points λ of Λ .

Proposition. For almost all points $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ (cf. 0.7) the pair $(X_K, \mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is a form of $(X_{k(\Lambda)}, \mathcal{M}_S)$.

Proof. The triple (X, Λ, θ) can be defined over a countable algebraically closed subfield k_0 of k . Let $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)_0$ denote the ring of functions on Λ defined over k_0 . In this case, the assertion of the proposition will obviously hold for all those points in $\Lambda(K)$, for which the composition map $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \rightarrow K$ is an embedding, i.e. for those points of Λ that do not belong to any of the proper subvarieties defined over k_0 . $\square$

Remark. In the sequel points of Λ that do not belong to any of the above subvarieties will be referred to as points in a generic position.

1.4 First examples.

a. By definition, a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ on X with $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{A}^n$ is the same as a collection of n differential operators L_i on X such that L_i ’s pairwise commute and are algebraically independent.

b. Let X be as above and let S be the pair (X, i) , where i is the natural embedding $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow D(X)$. This is a degenerate QCIS and its rank equals zero.

c. Let $X \simeq \mathbb{A}^n$ and let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ be a QCIS on X . In this case we can produce a new QCIS, $Fourier(S)$, by setting $Fourier(S) = (\Lambda, \theta')$, where θ' is obtained by composing θ with the automorphism of $D(\mathbb{A}^n)$ that sends $x_i \rightarrow \partial/\partial x_i$ and $\partial/\partial x_i \rightarrow -x_i$. Here x_i are standard coordinates on $\mathbb{A}^n$. In particular, the QCIS of the previous example goes under the Fourier transform to a QCIS generated by the $\partial/\partial x_i$ ’s. The rank of the latter is 1 and the corresponding D -module $\mathcal{M}_S$ is identified with $\mathcal{O}(X_{k(\Lambda)})$.

1.5 QCIS of rank 1. Let us first recall a well-known construction from the theory of D -modules. Let Y be a smooth irreducible algebraic variety. It is easy to see that there is a bijection between the set of closed rational 1-forms on Y and the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (M, ξ) , where M is a smooth D -module on an open subvariety of Y generated as an $\mathcal{O}$ -module by $\xi \in M$ (two such pairs (M, ξ) and (M', ξ') are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism between their restrictions to a sufficiently small open subvariety that maps ξ to ξ').

Explicitly, to a closed 1-form ω which is regular on an open subvariety $Y_0 \subset Y$ one associates a D -module $M(\omega)$ on Y_0 defined as follows: $M(\omega)$ has a distinguished generator $\xi_\omega \in M(\omega)$ that satisfies the relations:

$$\eta \cdot \xi_\omega = \omega(\eta) \xi_\omega$$

for each vector field η on Y_0 . When working over the field of complex numbers this construction has the following interpretation:

Lemma. *Let Y_0 be a variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and let M be a D -module on it generated over $D(Y_0)$ by a section $\xi \in M$. Let also ω_i be closed regular 1-forms on Y_0 . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists an isomorphism of D -modules $M \rightarrow \oplus_i M(\omega_i)$ that maps ξ to $\oplus \xi_{\omega_i} \in \oplus_i M(\omega_i)$*
- (2) *The functions $e^{\int \omega_i}$ form a basis of the space of local solutions of the differential equation defined by the pair (M, ξ) (cf. 0.7).*

The proof is straightforward.

Let now $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ be a QCIS with $rk(S) = 1$ and consider the pair $(\mathcal{M}_S, \mathbf{1})$ on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$. According to the previous discussion there exists a rational 1-form ω_S on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ that corresponds to $(\mathcal{M}_S, \mathbf{1})$ in the above sense. By definition, there exists an open subvariety $U_{00} \in X \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\omega_S \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X)} \mathcal{O}(U_{00}).$$

We have the following assertion:

Proposition. *Let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ be as above. For each point $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ the restriction of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ to $U_{00} \cap X \times \lambda$ is identified with $M(\omega_\lambda)$, where ω_λ is the restriction of ω_S to $U_{00} \cap X \times \lambda$.*

The proposition follows immediately from the definitions. Moreover, we deduce from Lemma 1.5 that when $K = \mathbb{C}$, the eigenvalue problem 0.3(2) corresponding to λ admits an explicit solution, namely $e^{\int \omega_\lambda}$.

1.6. Next theorem is one of our main results. Its proof will be given in section 7.

Theorem. *The D -module $\mathcal{M}_S$ on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ is irreducible.*

Corollary. *For almost all points λ of Λ , the D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is semisimple and if $rk(S) \neq 0$ it is the Goresky-MacPherson extension from its restriction to $X \times \lambda \cap U_0$, where it is smooth.*

This corollary is an immediate consequence of the theorem combined with Proposition 1.3.

2. PARTIAL ORDER ON THE SET OF QCIS'S

2.0. Let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ and $S' = (\Lambda', \theta')$ be two QCIS's on X .

2.1 Definition.

- (1) *We say that S' dominates S if there exists a map of algebras $h : \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Lambda')$ such that $\theta = \theta' \circ h$.*
- (2) *We say that S and S' are 1-step equivalent if one of them dominates the other and the corresponding map is a birational equivalence, i.e if h induces an isomorphism $k(\Lambda) \simeq k(\Lambda')$.*

Proposition. *Let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ and $S' = (\Lambda', \theta')$ be two QCIS on X with S' dominating S by means of h . Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ be a K -valued point of Λ such that the inverse image $h^{-1}(\lambda)$ of λ consists of $\deg(h)$ -many K -valued points λ'_i of Λ' . Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \simeq \oplus_i \mathcal{M}_{\lambda'_i}.$$

The proof is an immediate corollary of the definitions.

Consider now the set $QCIS(X)$ of all QCIS's on X . We introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $QCIS(X)$ to be the equivalence relation generated by 1-step equivalences as above. We denote the corresponding quotient set by $QCIS(X)/\sim$.

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that the D -modules $\mathcal{M}_S$ and $\mathcal{M}_{S'}$ on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ are canonically isomorphic if $S \sim S'$.

The following result is easy to verify.

Lemma. *The relation of domination on $QCIS(X)$ descends to a correctly defined partial order relation $\succ$ on $QCIS(X)/\sim$.*

Definition.

- (1) *Two elements $S_1, S_2 \in QCIS(X)/\sim$ are called 1-step comparable if either $S_1 \succ S_2$ or $S_2 \succ S_1$.*
- (2) *Two elements S_1 and S_2 are called comparable if they can be connected by a chain of 1-step comparable elements.*

Remark. It is not difficult to show that two QCIS S_1 and S_2 are comparable if and only if there exists a third QCIS S_3 with $S_3 \prec S_1, S_2$.

2.2. If $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is a QCIS on X , let $Z(S)$ denote the centralizer of $\theta(\mathcal{O}(\Lambda))$ in $D(X)$. By definition, this is an associative algebra over the commutative algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$.

Lemma.

- (1) $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ has no zero divisors.
- (2) $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{End}_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{M}_S)$.
- (3) $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ is finite-dimensional as a $k(\Lambda)$ -vector space.

Proof. The first two points follow readily from the definitions.

To prove the third one, recall (1.2), that $\mathcal{M}_S$ is a holonomic D -module on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ and therefore its endomorphism algebra is finite-dimensional over the field $k(\Lambda)$ [Bo]. $\square$

The next result is non-obvious and is due to Makar-Limanov [ML]. Its proof will be given in section 6 for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem. *The algebra $Z(S)$ is commutative.*

Remark. In the case of $\dim(X) = 1$ this result was proven in [Am].

Corollary. $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ is a finite field extension of $k(\Lambda)$

The assertion follows by combining the theorem with Lemma 2.2(1,3).

2.3. According to 2.1, the algebra $\text{End}_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ depends only on the class of S in $\text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$. Therefore, the same holds for the algebra $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$.

Proposition. *The set of $S' \in \text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$ with $S' \succ S$ is in a bijection with the set of $k(\Lambda)$ -subfields of $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. If $S' = (\Lambda', \theta')$ is a QCIS dominating $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ we assign to it a subfield in $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ which is equal to

$$\mathcal{O}(\Lambda') \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda) \simeq k(\Lambda').$$

Vice versa, if $\mathcal{K}$ is a $k(\Lambda)$ -subfield of $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$, it is easy to see that one can find a finitely generated algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda')$ over $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ that maps to $D(X)$ and such that its field of fractions maps isomorphically to $\mathcal{K}$. $\square$

Corollary 1. *For each element $S \in \text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$ there exists an element $S_{\max} \in \text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$ with the following property:*

If $S' \in \text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$ is comparable with S , then $S_{\max} \succ S'$.

Proof. To prove the corollary, take $S_{\max}$ to be the element in $\text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$ corresponding to the field $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ by the above proposition. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let S be a QCIS with $\text{rk}(S) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\text{rk}(S) \geq \dim_{k(\Lambda)}(Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)).$$

Proof. Observe first, that if a QCIS S is dominated by another QCIS S' , then $\text{rk}(S) = \text{rk}(S') \cdot \dim_{k(\Lambda)}(k(\Lambda'))$, by Proposition 2.1. Take now $S' = S_{\max}$. We have:

$$\text{rk}(S) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{rk}(S_{\max}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{rk}(S_{\max}) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \text{rk}(S) \geq \dim_{k(\Lambda)}(Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)).$$

$\square$

Remark. From the discussion above one can easily deduce that the algebra $Z(S)$ depends only on the comparability class of S .

2.4 Algebraically integrable systems.

Definition. A QCIS S is said to be algebraically integrable if it is dominated by another QCIS S' with $\text{rk}(S') = 1$.

Lemma.

- (1) *The property of algebraic integrability of a QCIS depends only on its class in $\text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$.*
- (2) *A QCIS S is algebraically integrable if and only if $\text{rk}(S_{\max}) = 1$.*
- (3) *A QCIS S is algebraically integrable if and only if*

$$\text{rk}(S) = \dim_{k(\Lambda)}(Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)).$$

Proof. The first point is obvious.

The second and the third points of the lemma follow as in corollary 2.3(2) by observing that $rk(S) = rk(S_{max}) \cdot \dim_{k(\Lambda)}(Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda))$. $\square$

As before, it is easy to see that algebraic integrability of a QCIS S depends only on its comparability class.

Remark. If $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is an algebraically integrable QCIS, the field $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ will be called the spectral field of S and for every $S' = (\Lambda', \theta')$ dominating S with $rk(S') = 1$, the variety Λ' will be called a spectral variety of S (cf. 5.2).

Proposition. *For a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is algebraically integrable.
- (2) Let K be algebraically closed and let $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ be in a generic position. Then the D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ decomposes into a direct sum of $rk(S)$ D -modules on an open subvariety of X_K .

Proof. Assume first (2). According to Corollary 1.5, the D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ decomposes into a direct sum as above over the whole of X_K and therefore $\dim_{k(\Lambda)}(End(\mathcal{M}_S)) = \dim_K(End(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)) = rk(S)$ and (1) follows in view of Lemma 2.4(3).

To deduce (2) from (1) consider S' dominating S by means of h with $rk(S') = 1$ and take $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ such that Λ' is étale near λ (according to Proposition 1.3 the validity of (2) does not depend on a particular choice of λ in a generic position). Then (2) follows immediately from Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Assume that for a QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.4 hold and let Λ' be as in the proof above. It follows from 1.5, that there exists an open subvariety $U'_{00} \subset X \times \Lambda'$ and a 1-form $\omega_{S'} \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(X)} \mathcal{O}(U'_{00})$ such that for $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ as above we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \simeq \oplus_i M(\omega_{\lambda_i})$$

over a sufficiently small open subvariety of X_K (here λ_i are as in Proposition 2.1). When working over $\mathbb{C}$ we obtain the following criterion for algebraic integrability of a QCIS:

Criterion-Proposition.

- (1) If $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ is an algebraically integrable QCIS, then for $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ in a generic position the functions $e^{\int \omega_{\lambda_i}}$ for ω_{λ_i} as above form a basis for the space of local solutions of the eigenvalue problem of 0.3(2) corresponding to the point λ .
- (2) If for some (or, equivalently, any) $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ in a generic position the eigenvalue problem as above admits a basis of local solutions of the form $e^{\int \omega_i}$, where ω_i are some rational 1-forms on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$, the system S is algebraically integrable.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the above discussion and from Lemma 1.5. To prove the second assertion observe, that by Lemma 1.5, the D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\oplus_i M(\omega_i)$ after a restriction to an open subvariety of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the needed result follows from Proposition 2.4. $\square$

The above criterion is the main tool of proving algebraic integrability of QCIS's (cf. 5.3).

Remark. Existence of a basis of the space of local solutions of the form $e^{\int \omega_i}$ for a special (non-generic) $\lambda \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ does not imply algebraic integrability. For example, one can take any two 1-forms ω_1, ω_2 on the line and write a second order differential operator L which annihilates $e^{\int \omega_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. The QCIS defined by L has rank 2, and in general it is not algebraically integrable. That is, the equation $L\psi = \lambda\psi$ does not, in general, have explicit solutions of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ for generic λ , even though it has them for $\lambda = 0$.

3. DIFFERENTIAL GALOIS GROUP OF A QCIS

3.1. In this subsection we will recollect certain facts from the theory of differential Galois groups [Katz],[De].

Let first Y be a smooth connected algebraic variety over a field K of characteristic 0 (but not necessarily algebraically closed) and let $y \in Y(K)$ be a K -point of Y . Let also M be an $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent D -module on Y .

We define $C(M)$ to be the minimal full abelian subcategory of the category of $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent D -modules on Y which a) contains M , b) is stable under taking subquotients, and c) is stable under tensor products and duality. This is a tensor category over K endowed with a fiber functor $F_y : C(M) \rightarrow Vect_K$, which is defined by taking the fiber at the point y : $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_y$ for $\mathcal{N} \in C(M)$.

According to [De], there exists an algebraic group, which we will denote by $DGal(M, y)$, such that the pair $(Rep(DGal(M, y)), Forget)$ is equivalent to the pair $(C(M), F_y)$. The group of K -points of $DGal(M, y)$ is identified with the group of automorphisms of the fiber-functor F_y . The group $DGal(M, y)$ is called the differential Galois group of M with respect to y .

Since the category $C(M)$ is generated by the object M , the canonical representation of $DGal(M, y)$ on M_y is faithful, i.e. $DGal(M, y)$ is naturally a subgroup in $GL(M_y)$.

Examples.

a. By definition, the representation of $DGal(M, y)$ on M_y is irreducible if and only if M is an irreducible D -module on Y .

b. Let K be algebraically closed. Then $DGal(M, y)$ is a subgroup of a torus (i.e. is commutative and reductive) if and only if M decomposes into a direct sum of $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent D -modules of rank 1.

c. If V is a vector space over K , we call an algebraic sub-group of $GL(V)$ triangular if after passing to an algebraic closure of K it is contained in a Borel subgroup of $GL(V)$.

We see that $DGal(M)$ is a triangular subgroup in $GL(M_y)$ if and only if after extending scalars to an algebraic closure of K M admits a filtration whose successive quotients have rank 1. When $K = \mathbb{C}$, a differential analog of Abel's theorem [Ka] shows that this condition is equivalent to a possibility to express the solutions of the system of differential equations corresponding to M (for any choice of a generator $\xi \in M$) through functions and 1-forms on Y by taking integrals and exponents of integrals.

Lemma. *Let $K \subset K_1$ be a field extension and let (Y_1, M_1, y_1) be obtained by extension of scalars from (Y, M, y) . Then the groups $DGal(M_1, y_1)$ and $DGal(M, y) \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(K_1)$ are canonically isomorphic.*

For the proof the reader is referred to [Katz].

Let now M be a holonomic D -module on Y (not necessarily $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent). Assume now that M is $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent in a neighbourhood of y . Then we can define $(C(M), F_y)$ by means of replacing Y by an open subvariety Y_0 containing y such that M becomes $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent when restricted to Y_0 . It is easy to verify, that the pair $(C(M), F_y)$ constructed in this way does not depend on the choice of Y_0 up to a canonical equivalence. In this case the group $DGal(M, y)$ will be defined as above. In the sequel, $\text{can}(M)$ will denote the canonical object in $C(M)$ corresponding to M ($M|_{Y_0}$).

Sometimes, when the choice of y is fixed we will omit the sub-script y in $DGal(M)$. When K is algebraically closed, differential Galois groups $DGal(M, y_1)$ and $DGal(M, y_2)$ corresponding to different points y_1 and y_2 are (non-canonically) isomorphic (cf. [De]). In fact, one can show using the results of [De] that there exists a group-scheme $\mathcal{G}(M)$ over Y endowed with a flat connection, whose fiber at a point $y \in Y$ is canonically isomorphic to $DGal(M, y)$.

Remark. Another way to construct the group $DGal(M)$ is via the theory of differential field extensions, in which situation it is often referred to as a Picard-Vessiot group [Ka], [De].

3.2. Let $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ be a QCIS on X and let us choose a point $x_0 \in X(k)$ contained in the projection of U_0 to X (cf. Lemma 1.2). For any $k \subset K$, we will denote again by x_0 the corresponding K -point of X_K . For a generic $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$ the D -module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ on X_K will be $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent in a Zariski neighborhood of this point due to Lemma 1.2(2).

Definition. *The differential Galois group $DGal(S, x_0)$ of a QCIS S is set to be the differential Galois group $DGal(\mathcal{M}_S, x_0)$. This is an algebraic group over the field $k(\Lambda)$.*

As before, when the point x_0 is fixed, we will replace the notation $DGal(S, x_0)$ simply by $DGal(S)$.

By definition, $DGal(S)$ is correctly assigned to $S \in QCIS(X)/\sim$. By combining lemma 3.1 with proposition 1.3 we get the following assertion:

Proposition. *For almost all points λ of Λ , the group $DGal(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is a form of $DGal(S)$.*

Remark. The following assertion is unnecessary for us and its proof is left to the reader:

Lemma. *Let $S' \succ S$ be two elements of $QCIS(X)/\sim$. There exists a natural map $DGal(S, x_0) \times_{\text{Spec}(k(\Lambda))} \text{Spec}(k(\Lambda')) \rightarrow DGal(S', x_0)$ such that*

- (1) *The map $DGal(S, x_0) \times_{k(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda') \rightarrow DGal(S', x_0)$ is surjective.*
- (2) *The map $DGal(S, x_0) \rightarrow \text{Res}_{k(\Lambda)}^{k(\Lambda')}(DGal(S', x_0))$ is injective. Here Res_L^K denotes Weil's restriction of scalars functor.*

3.3. Let S be as above. We have the holonomic D -module $\mathcal{M}_S$ on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$. Let also $\text{can}(\mathcal{M}_S) \in C(\mathcal{M}_S)$ be as in 3.1. Let $\mathcal{V}_S$ be the image of $\text{can}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ under the equivalence of categories $C(\mathcal{M}_S) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(D\text{Gal}(S))$ of 3.1. We have: $\dim(\mathcal{V}_S) = \text{rk}(S)$ and $D\text{Gal}(S)$ is a closed subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{V}_S)$.

Theorem.

- (1) $\mathcal{V}_S$ is an irreducible representation of $D\text{Gal}(S)$.
- (2) The group $D\text{Gal}(S)$ is reductive.

Proof. By theorem 1.6, $\mathcal{M}_S$ is an irreducible D -module on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$, hence $\text{can}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ is an irreducible object of $C(\mathcal{M}_S)$, which implies the first point of the theorem.

The second point follows from the first one, since the group $D\text{Gal}(S)$ has been shown to possess a faithful irreducible representation. $\square$

Corollary. For almost all points λ of Λ the group $D\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is reductive.

It is an interesting question to figure out what reductive groups can be realized as $D\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ for some QCIS $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$ and for a generic $\lambda \in \Lambda(K)$.

Let us stress that the canonical representation of the group $D\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is not necessarily irreducible.

Proposition. Let S be an element of $\text{QCIS}(X)/\sim$ with $\text{rk}(S) \neq 0$. Then $S = S_{\max}$ (cf. 2.3) if and only if the representation $\mathcal{V}_S$ is absolutely irreducible.

Proof. According to Corollary 2.3(1), $S = S_{\max}$ if and only if $\text{End}_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{M}_S) = k(\Lambda)$, which is in turn equivalent to the condition $\text{End}_{D\text{Gal}(S)}(\mathcal{V}_S) = k(\Lambda)$, by Corollary 1.6. The proof follows now from the fact that a representation of a reductive group is absolutely irreducible if and only if the algebra of its endomorphisms consists only of scalars. $\square$

3.4. Let once again S be a QCIS on X .

Theorem. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) S is algebraically integrable.
- (2) $\text{rk}(S) \neq 0$ and the group $D\text{Gal}(S)$ is a triangular subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{V}_S)$.
- (3) $\text{rk}(S) \neq 0$ and for a generic point λ of Λ with values in an algebraically closed field, the group $D\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is a triangular subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda x_0})$.

Proof. Conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent by Proposition 3.1. In view of Theorem 3.3, condition (3) is equivalent to the fact that $D\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$ is a commutative reductive group, which by Example 3.1(b) is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ decomposes into a direct sum of $\text{rk}(S)$ summands. The assertion follows now from Proposition 2.4. $\square$

Corollary. S is algebraically integrable if and only if $D\text{Gal}(S)$ is commutative.

Proof. This follows from the fact that for a reductive group the condition of being commutative is equivalent to the condition of being a triangular subgroup of some $GL(n)$. $\square$

According to Example 3.1(c), when working over $\mathbb{C}$, Theorem 3.4 can be reformulated in the following way: If for some (or equivalently any) $\mathbb{C}$ -point λ of Λ in a generic position the eigenvalue problem of 0.3(2) is solvable (in the sense of Example 3.1(c)) then the system S is algebraically integrable.

4. GENERALIZATION TO THE FORMAL CASE

4.1. Here we will generalize results of the previous sections to the case of integrable systems on a formal polydisc. Therefore in this section X will denote $\text{Spec}(k[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]])$ and the symbols $\mathcal{O}(X)$, $k(X)$ and $D(X)$ will denote respectively $k[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$, $k((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$ and $\mathcal{O}(X)[\partial/\partial x_1, \partial/\partial x_2, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n]$. If $k \subset K$ is a field extension, we define $\mathcal{O}(X)_K$ (resp. $k(X)_K, D(X)_K$) as $\mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_k K$ (resp. $k(X) \otimes_k K, D(X) \otimes_k K$). Note that we are taking here algebraic (non-completed) tensor products.

4.2.

Definition. A quantum completely integrable system (QCIS) on X is a pair $S = (\Lambda, \theta)$, where Λ is an irreducible affine algebraic variety of dimension n over k and $\theta : \mathcal{O}(\Lambda) \rightarrow D(X)$ is an embedding of algebras.

Remark. In the formal setting we will assume that the QCIS's we consider satisfy the following technical condition: $D(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ is finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}(X)_{k(\Lambda)}$ -module. This is done in order to exclude degenerate QCIS like in Example 1.4(b).

As in 1.2, given a QCIS on X , $D(X)$ can be viewed as a family of D -modules parameterized by Λ . We will consider the specialization of this family at the generic point η of Λ . As in section 1, we will get a module $\mathcal{M}_S$ over $D(X)_{k(\Lambda)}$. By the assumption, $\mathcal{M}_S$ is coherent as an $\mathcal{O}(X)_{k(\Lambda)}$ -module and $\text{rk}(S)$ is defined as before to be its rank.

We have an analog of Theorem 1.6 in the formal setting:

Theorem. The $D(X)_{k(\Lambda)}$ -module $\mathcal{M}_S$ is irreducible.

The proof of this theorem is analogous to the one of Theorem 1.6 (cf. Section 7).

4.3. Partial order on the set of QCIS on X is defined as in 2.1 and all the results 2.1-2.3 generalize to the formal case without changes. In particular, we have:

Theorem. $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{End}_{D(X)_K}(\mathcal{M}_S)$ and is a finite field extension of $k(\Lambda)$.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 2.2 that is given in section 6 applies equally to the formal situation.

The definition of algebraic integrability as well as Lemma 2.4 are restated without any changes. One can formulate analogs of Proposition 2.4 and of Proposition-Criterion 2.4 by means of considering finite extensions of the field $k(\Lambda)$.

4.4. Let M be an $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent $D(X)_K$ -module for some $k \subset K$. As in 2.1 we can define the tensor category $C(M)$ endowed with the fiber-functor $F_0 : C(M) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_K$ that corresponds to the unique closed K -point of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X)_K)$. The differential Galois group $DGal(M)$ can be defined as in 3.1 and it is an algebraic group over K .

Let us now put $K = k(\Lambda)$ and $M = \mathcal{M}_S$ as in 4.2. The corresponding differential Galois group will be denoted as before by $DGal(S)$. This is an algebraic group over $k(\Lambda)$ whose category of representations is generated by a distinguished object $\mathcal{V}_S$.

Remark. If in the definition of $DGal(M)$ we used the completed tensor product instead of $D(X)_K$, all differential Galois groups would be trivial. This happens, in particular, for the $D(X)$ -modules $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda(k)$. However, the reader can easily check that the group $DGal(S)$ is never trivial.

Theorem 4.2 implies the next result:

Theorem. *The group $DGal(S)$ is reductive.*

Corollary. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *S is algebraically integrable.*
- (2) *$DGal(S) \subset GL(\mathcal{V}_S)$ is triangular.*
- (3) *$DGal(S)$ is commutative.*

5. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

5.1. Let X be a formal line and let $\Lambda = \text{Spec}(k[\lambda])$. We define a QCIS on X by setting $\theta(\lambda) = L$, where L is a differential operator on X :

$$(I) \quad L = a_N(x) \frac{d^N}{dx^N} + \dots + a_0(X), \quad a_i \in k[[x]],$$

with $N \geq 1$ and $a_N(0) \neq 0$. We denote this QCIS by $S(L)$, $rk(S(L)) = N$.

The following definition comes from the soliton theory (cf. [Kr]).

Definition. *The operator L is said to be of algebraic type if there exists an operator $L' \in D(X)$ such that*

- (1) *L' commutes with L .*
- (2) *L' is regular and semi-simple as an endomorphism of the fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{S(L)}$ at 0.*

(Here, by a regular semi-simple endomorphism of a vector space we mean a linear endomorphism having distinct eigenvalues after passing to an algebraically closed field.)

The classification of differential operators of algebraic type is given in [Kr],[Dr].

Proposition. *The operator L is of algebraic type if and only if the QCIS $S(L)$ is algebraically integrable.*

Proof. Consider the field $Z(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ acting on the fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{S(L)}$ at 0. The proof of the proposition follows from the following general fact:

Lemma. *Let $F \subset E$ be a finite field extension and let V be a vector space over E . Then $\dim_E(V) = 1$ if and only if there exists $e \in E$ whose action on V is a regular semi-simple automorphism of V when the latter is viewed as a vector space over F . Moreover, in this case e generates E .*

□

Remark. Let L be algebraic and let Λ' be a spectral variety of S (cf. 2.4). If $\lambda_0 \in k = \Lambda(k)$, the fiber of Λ' over λ_0 bijectively corresponds to the set of eigenvalues of the operator L' when acting on the fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_0}$ at 0, or which is the same on the space of the formal solutions of the eigenvalue problem $(L - \lambda_0)(\psi) = 0$.

By combining Theorem 4.3 with the above proposition we get the following assertion:

Theorem.

- (1) If L is of algebraic type, then the group $DGal(S(L))$ is a commutative reductive group.
- (2) If the group $DGal(S(L)) \subset GL(\mathcal{V}_S)$ is triangular, then L is of algebraic type.

Remark. Algebraic integrability of an operator can be defined in an absolutely similar way in the geometric situation, i.e. when the formal line X is replaced by an affine smooth algebraic curve.

5.2 The Lamé operator. As a concrete non-trivial example of an operator of algebraic type, let us consider the Lamé operator. In the next subsection this example will be generalized to a higher-dimensional case.

Let $k = \mathbb{C}$, let E be a smooth elliptic curve, and let $\wp$ be the Weierstrass function on E . Let also $\frac{d}{dx}$ be an invariant regular vector field on E , normalized in such a way, that with respect to some local parameter u near the origin, $\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{d}{du} + O(u)$, $\wp(x, E) = u^{-2} + O(u^{-1})$. Let $m \in k$, and let

$$(II) \quad L_m = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - m(m+1)\wp.$$

This operator is called the Lamé operator [WW]. It defines a quantum completely integrable system on $X = E \setminus 0$ with $\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^1$ as in 5.1. Eigenfunctions of the Lamé operator are called Lamé functions.

Introduce an anti-involution $*$: $D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$ by $\frac{d}{dx}^* = -\frac{d}{dx}$, $f^* = f$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Then the operator L_m is formally selfadjoint, i.e. $L_m^* = L_m$.

Proposition. (see [CV1,CV2] and references therein)

The operator L_m is of algebraic type (=finite zone) if and only if m is a non-negative integer. For such m there exists a unique operator Q_m of order $2m+1$ and highest coefficient 1 such that $[Q_m, L_m] = 0$, and $Q_m^* = -Q_m$. It satisfies an equation $Q_m^2 = P_m(L_m)$, where $P_m \in k[t]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2m+1$.

Let us now show how to reprove the fact that the Lamé operator is of algebraic type using the results of 2.4. For this purpose let us recall a construction of Lamé functions due to Hermite.

Lemma.

- (1) Let f be a rational function on E . Then the following conditions are equivalent:
 - (a) $\pi(f) := f^2 + \frac{df}{dx} - m(m+1)\wp$ is a constant function.
 - (b) f has poles only at $m+1$ points $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $a_0 = 0$ such that $(Res_{a_i}(f dx) = 1$ for $i \neq 0$, $(Res_{a_0}(f dx) = -m$ and $f(a_i + x) + f(a_i - x)$ has a zero at $x = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.
- (2) The set H_m of functions f satisfying (a) or (b) above has a natural structure of a hyperelliptic curve of genus m mapping to $\mathbb{A}^1$ by means of $f \rightarrow \pi(f)$ with the involution σ defined by: $\sigma(f)(x) = f(-x)$.

If now we put $\psi_f(x) = e^{\int f(x) dx}$ for $f \in H_m$ we will get a Lamé function corresponding to the eigenvalue $\pi(f)$. Therefore for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1$ which is a non-critical value of the projection π we get a basis to the space of solutions of the eigenvalue problem $(L_m - \lambda)(\psi) = 0$ of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ and the algebraic integrability of $S(L_m)$ readily follows from Proposition-Criterion 2.4(2).

Remark. Note that H_m is identified with a spectral variety of $S(L_m)$.

5.3 Calogero-Moser systems and the Chalyh-Veselov conjecture.

Let E be an elliptic curve as above. The Calogero-Moser system is a quantum completely integrable system on the variety $X = E^n \setminus D$, where D is the divisor of diagonals.

Let $\frac{d}{dx_i}$ be the vector fields on X defined as in 5.2. The following result is due to Olshanetsky and Perelomov [OP]:

Proposition. *There exist pairwise commuting S_n -invariant differential operators $L^1 \dots L^n$ in $D(X)$ such that*

$$(III) \quad \begin{aligned} L^1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{dx_i}, \\ L^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2}{dx_i^2} - m(m+1) \sum_{i \neq j} \wp(x_i - x_j), \\ L^j &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^j}{dx_i^j} + \text{lower order terms}. \end{aligned}$$

The operator L^j is defined by these conditions uniquely up to adding a polynomial of $L^1, \dots, L^{j-1}$.

The operators $L^1, \dots, L^n$ define a quantum completely integrable system on X which we denote by CM_m^n . We have $CM_m^n = (\Lambda, \theta)$, where $\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$, $\theta(t_i) = L_i$.

The rank of CM_m^n equals $n!$ and for $n = 2$ the system (III) reduces to the Lamé equation, which we considered in the previous section.

Theorem. (a conjecture from [CV1]) *Let m be a non-negative integer. Then the system CM_m^n is algebraically integrable.*

Proof. To prove this theorem it is enough to show that for almost all $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^n$, the eigenvalue problem as in 0.3(2) for CM_m^n admits a basis of local solutions of the form $e^{\int \omega}$, where ω are some rational closed 1-forms on X .

Solutions of this system of differential equations were recently obtained by [FV]:

In [FV], Section 7, the authors construct an irreducible affine algebraic variety H_m^n , which is described as follows.

Let e_i be the standard basis of k^n , $\mathfrak{h}$ be the hyperplane in k^n defined by the equation $\sum_i y_i = 0$, $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Let R_m^n be the set of rational functions $f : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that f has a simple pole with residue $-m((n-1)\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1})$ at the origin and $mn(n-1)/2$ other simple poles $a_1, \dots, a_{m(n-1)}$: $m(n-1)$ poles with residue α_1 , $m(n-2)$ poles with residue $\alpha_2, \dots, m$ poles with residue α_{n-1} . Let H_m^n be the set of those $f \in R_m^n$ for which the scalar-valued function $g_i(x) = \langle f(a_i + x) + f(a_i - x), \text{Res}_{a_i} f \rangle$ has a zero at $x = 0$ for all i (here $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in k^n). These equations are called the Bethe ansatz equations, and the set H_m^n has a natural structure of an algebraic variety called the Hermite-Bethe variety. The dimension of H_m^n equals $n-1$. In the case $n = 2$, this situation reduces to the one described in the previous section.

The following assertion follows from theorem 7.1 of [FV]:

Lemma. *There exists a regular map $\pi : H_m^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$, such that the generic fiber of π contains $n!$ points, and a family $\Phi(x, f)$ of closed rational 1-forms on X parameterized by $f \in H_m^n$, such that*

(i) *the formal function*

$$\psi_{f,t}(x) = e^{t\langle x, e_1 + \dots + e_n \rangle + \int \Phi(x, f)},$$

regarded as a function of x , satisfies the differential equations

$$L_i \psi_{f,t} = \pi(f, t)_i \psi_{f,t},$$

and

(ii) *the functions $\psi_{f,t}(x)$, where (f, t) runs over $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$, are linearly independent for a generic λ .*

The assertion of algebraic integrability of CM_m^n follows now from Proposition-Criterion 2.4. $\square$

Remark. The proof presented above is rather inexplicit: from the algebraic integrability of CM_m^n it follows that $H_m^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is a spectral variety of CM_m^n . This means that there exists a big sub-algebra inside $\mathcal{O}(H_m^n \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ mapping to $D(X)$ and such that its image commutes with $\theta(\mathcal{O}(\Lambda))$. However, we do not know how to write it down explicitly.

6. PROOF OF THE COMMUTATIVITY THEOREM

6.0. Our objective here is to prove theorem 2.2. In this section, we will consider objects endowed with an increasing filtration. If C is a filtered algebra, C_n will denote the n -th term of its filtration and if $t \in C_n$, $\sigma_n(t)$ will denote the image of t in C_n/C_{n-1} .

By a filtered map between two objects we will mean a map strictly compatible with filtrations. In particular, if $C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is a filtered embedding of algebras, then $gr(C_1) \rightarrow gr(C_2)$ is an embedding as well.

6.1. Let X be a smooth connected affine variety and let $\mathcal{H} \subset D(X)$ be a commutative subalgebra. Let $Z(\mathcal{H})$ denote the centralizer of $\mathcal{H}$ in $D(X)$. Assume that $Z(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} k(\mathcal{H})$ is finite-dimensional as a vector space over $k(\mathcal{H})$. We want to prove the following more general assertion:

Theorem. *$Z(\mathcal{H})$ is commutative as well.*

Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 6.1 in view of Lemma 2.2(3).

6.2. Let R' be an associative algebra without zero-divisors and let $R \subset R'$ be a central subalgebra.

Definition.

- (1) *We say that an element $r' \in R'$ is algebraic over R if there exists a non-zero polynomial $p(x) \in R[x]$ such that $p(r') = 0$.*
- (2) *We say that R' is algebraic over R if every element $r' \in R'$ is.*

Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be an embedding of filtered associative algebras such that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}$ is central in $\mathcal{B}$
- (2) $\mathcal{B}$ is algebraic over $\mathcal{A}$
- (3) $gr(\mathcal{B})$ is commutative and has no zero-divisors.

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is commutative as well.

Let us first deduce Theorem 6.1 from the above proposition.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We must check that the three conditions above hold when we put $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{B} = Z(\mathcal{H})$.

We define the filtrations on $\mathcal{A}$ and on $\mathcal{B}$ as being induced from the natural filtration on $D(X)$. By definition, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a filtered embedding and (1) and (3) hold also by definition.

(2) holds since $Z(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} k(\mathcal{H})$ is finite over $k(\mathcal{H})$.

Therefore, $Z(\mathcal{H})$ is commutative, by Proposition 6.2. $\square$

6.3.

Proposition 6.2 will follow from a series of auxiliary statements.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{B}'$ be an embedding of commutative Poisson algebras, such that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{B}'$ (and hence $\mathcal{A}'$) has no zero-divisors.
- (2) $\mathcal{B}'$ is algebraic over $\mathcal{A}'$
- (3) $\mathcal{A}'$ Poisson-commutes with $\mathcal{B}'$

Then the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{B}'$ is zero.

Proof. Let $\bar{t}$ and $\bar{t}'$ be two elements in $\mathcal{B}'$. We have to show that $\{\bar{t}, \bar{t}'\} = 0$. Take $p \in \mathcal{A}'[x]$ to be a non-zero polynomial of minimal degree such that $p(\bar{t}) = 0$. We have:

$$0 = \{p(\bar{t}), \bar{t}'\} = \{\bar{t}, \bar{t}'\} \cdot p'(\bar{t}).$$

Since the ring $\mathcal{B}'$ has no zero-divisors, $\{\bar{t}, \bar{t}'\} \neq 0$ would imply that $p'(\bar{t}) = 0$, which is a contradiction, since $\deg(p') = \deg(p) - 1$. $\square$

QED

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be an embedding of filtered satisfying the conditions of 6.2. Then $\mathcal{B}' := gr(\mathcal{B})$ is algebraic over $\mathcal{A}' := gr(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof.

Since $\mathcal{B}'$ is commutative the set of elements of $\mathcal{B}'$ algebraic over $\mathcal{A}'$ is a subalgebra. Therefore, to prove the lemma it suffices to check that every homogeneous element in $\mathcal{B}'$ satisfies a polynomial equation over $\mathcal{A}'$.

Let $\bar{t}$ be a homogeneous element of degree n in $\mathcal{B}'$. Let t be an element in $\mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma_n(t) = \bar{t}$.

Choose a polynomial

$$p[x] = a_m \cdot x^m + a_{m-1} \cdot x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \cdot x + a_0,$$

$a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with $p(t) = 0$. Put $k = \max(\deg(a_i) + ni)$ and consider

$$q[x] := \sum_{\deg(a_j) + nj = k} \sigma_{\deg(a_j)}(a_j) \cdot x^j$$

We have $\sigma_k(p(t)) = q(\bar{t}) = 0$, and this proves our assertion. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 6.2. Suppose on the contrary that $\mathcal{B}$ were not commutative. Let k be the maximal integer, for which $t \cdot t' - t' \cdot t \in \mathcal{B}_{i+j-k}$ for every i, j and $t \in \mathcal{B}_i, t' \in \mathcal{B}_j$.

Then $\mathcal{B}' := gr(\mathcal{B})$ acquires a Poisson structure in a standard manner: for $\bar{t}$ and $\bar{t}'$ homogeneous elements of degrees i and j respectively, set $[\bar{t}, \bar{t}'] = \sigma_{i+j-k}(t \cdot t' - t' \cdot t)$, where t and t' are any two elements in $\mathcal{B}_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_j$) with $\sigma_i(t) = \bar{t}$ (resp. $\sigma_j(t) = \bar{t}$).

This is a Poisson structure on $\mathcal{B}'$ that satisfies the conditions of lemma 1. Hence it is trivial and this contradicts the assumption of maximality of k . $\square$

7. THE IRREDUCIBILITY THEOREM

7.1. Let X be a smooth connected algebraic variety over a field k of dimension n and let $S = (\Lambda, h)$ be a QCIS on X . Here we will present a proof of Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 1.6. *The D -module $\mathcal{M}_S$ on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$ is irreducible.*

7.2.

Proof. Let us suppose on the contrary that $\mathcal{M}_S$ is reducible. In such a case there exists a short exact sequence of D -modules on $X_{k(\Lambda)}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2 \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{N}_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Put now $N_1 := \mathcal{N}_1 \cap D(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_S \simeq D(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda)$ and $N_2 := D(X)/N_1$.

The following lemma is straightforward:

Lemma 1. *N_1 is a $D(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ -submodule of $D(X)$ and $N_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)} k(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{N}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Consider now N_2 as a D -module on X .

Lemma 2. $\dim_{D(X)}(N_2) = 2n$

Proof of lemma 2. On the one hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 2n &\geq \dim_{D(X)}(N_2) \stackrel{A.3}{\geq} \dim_{D(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)}(N_2) \stackrel{A.2}{\geq} \\ &\dim_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{N}_2) + \dim(\Lambda) = \dim_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{N}_2) + n \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Bernstein's inequality, we have $\dim_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{N}_2) \geq n$ and therefore $\dim_{D(X)}(N_2) = 2n$. $\square$

Now we are ready to conclude the proof of the theorem by making the following observation:

Lemma 3. *Let X be a smooth connected algebraic variety and let M be a non-zero D -module on X which is a quotient of $D(X)$. Assume that $\dim_{D(X)}(M) = 2 \dim(X)$. Then $M = D(X)$.*

By applying this lemma to N_2 we see that either N_1 or N_2 is zero, which is a contradiction. Therefore the theorem is proved.

$\square$

APPENDIX

A.0. We will prove here several statements mainly from commutative algebra whose proof was omitted in the main body of the paper. We will state our results in the geometric case (when X is a usual variety). The case of a formal polydisc is treated similarly.

A.1. Let A be an associative filtered algebra $A = \cup A_i$, $i \geq 0$, such that the associated graded algebra $gr(A)$ is a finitely generated commutative algebra over a field k . If M is a finitely generated A -module, we define $\dim_A(M)$ in the following way: choose a good filtration on M (i.e. a filtration compatible with the filtration on A and such that $gr(M)$ is finitely generated over $gr(A)$) and set $\dim_A(M)$ to be the dimension of the support of $gr(M)$ inside $Spec(gr(A))$. When $M = 0$, $\dim_A(M) := -\infty$.

The following fact is well-known:

Lemma. *Let M be an A -module and let $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ be an injective endomorphism. Then $\dim_A(M) \geq \dim_A(M/Im(\alpha)) + 1$.*

A.2. Let A be as above and let A' be of the form $A \otimes C$, where C is a commutative finitely generated domain. We endow A' with a filtration: $A'_i = A_i \otimes C$. Let M be a finitely generated A' -module and let $k(C)$ denote the field of fractions of C .

Lemma. $\dim_{A'}(M) \geq \dim_{A \otimes k(C)}(M \otimes_C k(C)) + \dim(C)$

The proof is easy.

A.3. Let A , A' and M be as above and let us assume moreover that M is finitely generated as an A -module.

Proposition. $\dim_A(M) \geq \dim_{A'}(M)$

Proof. Without restriction of generality, we may assume that $C = k[T]$, i.e. $A' = A[T]$.

Consider the $A[T]$ -module $M[T] := M \otimes_A A[T]$. It is easy to see that $\dim_{A[T]}(M[T]) = \dim_A(M) + 1$.

We have a short exact sequence of $A[T]$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow M[T] \xrightarrow{\alpha} M[T] \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where M is endowed with the initial $A \simeq A[T]$ -structure, and the maps α and β are defined as follows:

$$\alpha(m \otimes 1) = T \cdot m \otimes 1 - m \otimes T, \quad \beta(m \otimes 1) = m.$$

The proposition now follows from Lemma A.1. $\square$

Corollary.

- (1) *Let S be a QHS on a variety X . Then $n \geq \dim(\Lambda)$.*
- (2) *If S is a QCIS, then $\mathcal{M}_S$ is holonomic.*

Proof. To prove the first point let us apply proposition A.3 to the case when $A = D(X)$, $C = \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ and $M = D(X)$. We have:

$$2n \geq \dim_{D(X)}(D(X)) \stackrel{\text{A.3}}{\geq} \dim_{D(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)}(D(X)) \stackrel{\text{A.2}}{\geq} \dim_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{M}_S) + \dim(\Lambda)$$

However, by Bernstein's inequality we have $\dim_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{M}_S) \geq n$ ($\mathcal{M}_S \neq 0$) and we get: $2n \geq n + \dim(\Lambda)$ hence the assertion.

If now $\dim(\Lambda) = n$, we necessarily have $\dim_{D(X_{k(\Lambda)})}(\mathcal{M}_S) = n$ $\square$

A.4.

Lemma 1. *Let A and B' be two commutative finitely generated algebras with A being an integral domain. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B'$ be an algebra map such that B' finitely generated over A as an algebra. Let also M be a B' -module. Then*

- (1) *There exists a Zariski dense open subset $U' \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ such that M is flat when localized to U' .*
- (2) *Assume moreover that $\dim_{B'}(M) = \dim(A)$. Then there exists a Zariski dense open subset $U'' \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ such that M is finitely generated over A when localized to U'' .*

Next lemma is an immediate corollary of the one above.

Lemma 2. *Let A be as above and let $B = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} B_i$ be a filtered associative algebra with A mapping to B_0 . Assume moreover, that $B' := \text{gr}(B)$ is commutative and finitely generated as an algebra over A . Let M be a finitely generated B -module. Then:*

- (1) *There exists a Zariski dense open subset $U' \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ such that M is flat when localized to U' .*
- (2) *Assume moreover that $\dim_B(M) = \dim(A)$. Then there exists a Zariski dense open subset $U'' \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ such that M is finitely generated over A when localized to U'' .*

Lemma 1.2(1) follows now from Lemma A.4(2) applied to $A = \mathcal{O}(X \times \Lambda)$, $B = D(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ and $M = D(X)$.

REFERENCES

- [AK] A. Altman, S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck Duality Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., 146, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [Am] S. Amitsur, *Commutative linear differential operators*, Pacific Journal of Math. **8** (1958), 1-11.
- [Ar] V. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Graduate Texts in Math., 060, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [BD] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's Hamiltonians and Hecke eigen-sheaves*, preprint (1996).
- [Bo] A. Borel et al, *Algebraic D-modules*, Progress in Mathematics, 1988.
- [CV1] O. Chalykh, A. Veselov, *Commutative rings of partial differential operators and Lie algebras*, Comm.Math.Phys **126** (1990), 597-611.
- [CV2] O. Chalykh, A. Veselov, *Integrability in the theory of Schrodinger operator and harmonic analysis*, Comm.Math.Phys **152** (1993), 29-40.
- [De] P. Deligne, *Catégories Tannakiennes*, Grothendieck Festschrift **2** (1991), Birkhäuser, Boston, 111-195.

- [DMN] B. Dubrovin, V. Matveev, S. Novikov, *Nonlinear equations of KdV type, finite zone linear operators, and abelian varieties*, Russ Math. Surv. **31** (1976).
- [Dr] V. Drinfeld, *Commutative subrings of certain non-commutative rings*, Funct. Analysis and Appl. **11** (1977), 9-11.
- [EFK] P. Etingov, I. Frenkel and A. Kirillov, Jr., *Spherical functions on affine Lie groups*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 1, 59-90.
- [FV] G. Felder and A. Varchenko, *Three formulas for eigenfunctions of integrable Schrödinger operators*, Preprint (1995).
- [Ka] I. Kaplansky, *An introduction to differential algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1957.
- [Katz] N. Katz, *On the calculation of certain differential Galois groups*, Inv. Math. **87** (1987), 13-62.
- [Kr] I. Krichever, *Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations*, Russ. Math. Surv. **32** (1977).
- [ML] L. Makar-Limanov, Funct. Analysis and Appl. **4** (1970), 332-333.
- [OP] M. Olshanetsky and A. Perelomov, *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, Phys. Rep. **94** (1983), 313-404.
- [VSC] A. Veselov, K. Styrkas, O. Chalykh, *Algebraic integrability for the Schrödinger equation and finite reflection groups*, Theor. and Math. Physics **94** (1993), no. 2.
- [WW] E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, *Course of modern analysis, 4th edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1958.

A. B. : SCHOOL OF MATH. SCIENCES, TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY, RAMAT-AVIV, 69978, ISRAEL.

D. G. : SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, IAS, OLDEN LANE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540

P. E. : DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138.

E-mail address:

A. B. : `braval@math.tau.ac.il`

P. E. : `etingof@math.harvard.edu`

D. G. : `gaitsgde@math.ias.edu`